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Abstract—Deep convolutional neural networks (DCNNs) are one of the most promising deep learning techniques and have been recognized as the dominant approach for almost all recognition and detection tasks. The computation of DCNNs is memory intensive due to large feature maps and neuron connections, and the performance highly depends on the capability of hardware resources. With the recent trend of wearable devices and Internet of Things, it becomes desirable to integrate the DCNNs onto embedded and portable devices that require low power and energy consumptions and small hardware footprints. Recently stochastic computing (SC)-DCNN demonstrated that SC as a low-cost substitute to binary-based computing radically simplifies the hardware implementation of arithmetic units and has the potential to satisfy the stringent power requirements in embedded devices. In SC, many arithmetic operations that are resource-consuming in binary designs can be implemented with very simple hardware logic, alleviating the extensive computational complexity. It offers a colossal design space for integration and optimization due to its reduced area and soft error resiliency. In this paper, we present HEIF, a highly efficient SC-based inference framework of the large-scale DCNNs, with broad applications including (but not limited to) LeNet-5 and AlexNet, that achieves high energy efficiency and low area/hardware cost. Compared to SC-DCNN, HEIF features: 1) the first (to the best of our knowledge) SC-based rectified linear unit activation function to catch up with the recent advances in software models and mitigate degradation in application-level accuracy; 2) the redesigned approximate parallel counter and optimized stochastic multiplication using transmission gates and inverse mirror adders; and 3) the new optimization of weight storage using clustering. Most importantly, to achieve maximum energy efficiency while maintaining acceptable accuracy, HEIF considers holistic optimizations on cascade connection of function blocks in DCNN, pipelining technique, and bit-stream length reduction. Experimental results show that in large-scale applications HEIF outperforms previous SC-DCNN by the throughput of 4.1×, by area efficiency of up to 6.5×, and achieves up to 5.6× energy improvement.

Index Terms—ASIC, convolutional neural network, deep learning, energy-efficient, optimization, stochastic computing (SC).

I. INTRODUCTION

MACHINE learning technology benefits many aspects of modern life: Web searches, e-commerce recommendations, social network content filtering, etc. [2]. Unfortunately, the conventional machine learning techniques were restricted by the lack of ability to automatically extract high-level features which have been conducted by well-engineered manual feature extractors. Deep learning methods have taken advantage of the architecture of multilevel representations to learn very complex functions [2]. Here, each representation is obtained through the transformation from a slightly less abstract level by a simple nonlinear module. Deep learning significantly enhances the machine learning capability by learning from data by these multiple layers for different features without human involvement.

Deep convolutional neural networks (DCNNs) is one of the most promising types of artificial neural networks based on deep learning and have been recognized as the dominant approach for almost all recognition and detection tasks. DCNNs feature the special structural designs [3] of layerwise local connections implementing convolution, integrating pattern matching techniques into neural networks and learning invariant elementary features of images. It has been demonstrated that DCNNs are effective models for understanding image content [4], image classification [5], video classification [4], and object detection [6], [7].

Due to the deep structure, the performance of DCNN highly relies on the capability of hardware resources. From high performance server clusters [8], [9] to general-purpose graphics processing units [10], [11], parallel accelerations of DCNNs are widely used in both the academic and industry. Recently, hardware acceleration for DCNNs has attracted...
enormous research interests on field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) [12]–[14]. Nevertheless, there is a trend of embedding DCNNs into light-weight embedded and portable systems, such as surveillance monitoring systems [15], self-driving systems [16], unmanned aerial systems [17], and robotic systems [18]. These scenarios require very low power and energy consumptions and small hardware footprints. Besides, cell phones [2] and wearable devices [19] equipped with hardware-level neural network computation capability require the radical reduction in power and energy consumptions and footprints.

DCNNs are both compute and memory intensive. Based on the conventional binary arithmetic calculations (used in prior GPU, FPGA, and ASIC accelerators), deploying the entire large DCNNs like AlexNet [20]–[22] (for ImageNet applications) incurs the significant amount of hardware, power, and energy cost. This makes it impractical to use DCNNs in embedded systems with a limited area and power budget. Therefore, the novel alternative computing paradigms are urgently needed to overcome this hurdle.

The recent work [1] considered stochastic computing (SC), a special approximate computing technique, such as [23]–[28], as a low-cost substitute to binary-based computing [29] for DCNNs. SC can radically simplify the hardware implementation of arithmetic units and has the potential to satisfy the low-power requirements of DCNNs. In SC, many arithmetic operations that are resource-consuming in binary designs can be implemented with very simple hardware logic [30], alleviating the extensive computation complexity. It offers a colossal design space for optimization due to its reduced area and soft error resiliency. Recent works [31]–[33] applied SC to neural networks and deep belief networks (DBNs), demonstrating the applicability of SC on deep learning techniques.

Unlike DBNs, implementing DCNNs using SC is more challenging due to local connectivities, down-sampling operations and special activation functions, i.e., the rectified linear unit (ReLU) function [3], [4]. SC-DCNN [1] is the first to investigate SC-based DCNN design space explorations. It does have the following limitations. First, SC-DCNN suffers from the degraded overall accuracy because it utilizes the easy-to-implement hyperbolic tangent (tanh) function instead of ReLU function. Second, SC-DCNN is not sufficiently optimized, which leads to: 1) the difficulty to maintain the high application-level accuracy due to the stochastic nature of SC components; and most importantly and 2) a low clock frequency of no more than 200 MHz. To overcome these limitations and further improve energy efficiency, we present highly efficient inference framework (HEIF) with broad applications including (but not limited to) LeNet5 and AlexNet, that achieves high energy efficiency and low area/hardware cost. HEIF includes the following key innovations.

1) We propose the first (to the best of our knowledge) SC-based ReLU activation function and corresponding optimizations to catch up with recent software advances and mitigate degradation on application-level accuracy.

2) We redesign the approximate parallel counter (APC) proposed in [34] and optimize stochastic multiplication, which is utilized in the inner product calculations of DCNN, to achieve a smaller footprint and higher energy efficiency without sacrificing any precision.

3) We investigate a memory reduction and clustering method considering the effects of hardware imprecision on the overall application-level accuracy.

4) HEIF is holistically optimized with the cascade structural connection of function blocks, the pipelining technique, and the bit-stream length reduction. It significantly improves the energy efficiency without compromising application-level accuracy requirements.

Overall, HEIF could achieve very high energy efficiency of 1.2M Images/J and 1.3M Images/J, and high throughput of 3.2M Images/s and 2.5M Images/s, along with very small area of 22.9 mm$^2$ and 24.7 mm$^2$ on LeNet-5 and AlexNet, respectively. HEIF outperforms SC-DCNN [1] by throughput of 4.1×, by area efficiency of up to 6.5× and achieves up to 5.6× energy improvement.

II. PRELIMINARY WORK

A. DCNN Architecture Overview

DCNNs are biologically inspired variants of multilayer perceptrons by mimicking the animal visual mechanism [35]. Thus, a DCNN has special sets of neurons only connected to a small receptive field of its previous layer rather than fully connected. Besides an input layer and an output layer, a general DCNN architecture consists of a stack of convolutional layers, pooling layers, and fully connected layers shown in Fig. 1. Please note that some special layers like normalization or regularization are not the focus in this paper.

1) A convolutional layer is associated with a set of learnable filters (or kernels) [3], which are activated when specific types of features are found at some spatial positions in the inputs. Filter-sized moving windows are applied to the inputs to obtain a set of feature maps by calculating the convolution of the filter and inputs in the moving window. Each convolutional neuron, representing one pixel in a feature map, takes a set of inputs and corresponding filter weights to calculate their inner-products.

2) After extracting features using convolution, a subsampling step can be applied to aggregate statistics of these features to reduce the dimensions of data and mitigate over-fitting issues. This subsampling operation is realized by a pooling neuron in pooling layers, where different nonlinear functions can be applied, such as max pooling, average pooling, and L2-norm pooling. Among them, max pooling is the dominating type of pooling in state-of-the-art DCNNs due to the higher overall accuracy and convergence speed. The activation functions are nonlinear transformation functions, such as ReLUs $f(x) = \max(0, x)$, hyperbolic tangent (tanh) $f(x) = \tanh(x)$ or $f(x) = \vert \tanh(x) \vert$, and sigmoid function $f(x) = [1/(1 + e^{-x})]$. Among them, the ReLU function
is the dominating type in the (large-scale) DCNNs due to: a) the lower complexity for software implementation and b) the reduced vanishing gradient problem [36]. These nonlinear transformations are conducted somewhere before the inputs of the next layer, ensuring that they are within the range of $[-1, 1]$. Usually, a combination of convolutional neurons, pooling neurons, and activation functions forms a feature extraction block (FEB) to extract high-level abstraction from the input images or previous low-level features.

3) A fully connected layer is a normal neural network layer with its inputs fully connected with its previous layer. Each fully connected neuron calculates the inner-product of its inputs and corresponding weights.

In general, a DCNN inference process has three basic function blocks shown in Fig. 2: 1) the inner-product [Fig. 2(a)] of inputs and weights corresponding to their incoming connections with the previous layer is calculated by neurons in convolutional layers and fully connected layers; 2) the pooling block [Fig. 2(b)] subsamples the inner-products; and 3) the activation function block [Fig. 2(c)] transforms the inner-products or subsampled outputs to ensure that the inputs of next layer are within the valid range.

The overall application-level accuracy (e.g., the overall classification rates) is one of the key optimization goals of the SC-based DCNN. On the other hand, the SC-based function blocks and FEBs exhibit a certain degree of imprecision due to the inherent stochastic nature. The application-level accuracy and hardware precision are different but correlated, which implies the high precision in each function block will likely lead to a high overall application-level accuracy. Therefore, the hardware precisions will be optimized for the SC-based function blocks and FEBs.

B. Stochastic Computing

In SC, a probabilistic number $x$ in the range of $[0,1]$ is represented by a sequence of binary digits $X$ (i.e., a bit-stream), where the value of $x$ is contained in the primary statistic of the bit-stream or the probability of any given bit in the sequence being a logic one [31]. For instance, the value of a 5-bit sequence $X = 10110$ is $x = P_{X=1} = (3/5) = 0.6$. In addition to this unipolar encoding format, SC has the bipolar encoding format to represent a number $x$ in the range of $[-1, 1]$, where $x = 2 \cdot P_{X=1} - 1$. For example, a sequence $X = 11101$ represents $x = 0.6$ in the bipolar format. We adopt the bipolar encoding format since the numbers in a typical DCNN are distributed on both sides of zero.

SC has three characteristics. First, only a subset of the real numbers can be represented exactly in SC, i.e., an $m$-bit sequence can only represent $\{0/m, (1/m), \ldots, (m/m)\}$ in the unipolar format. Therefore, increasing the length of the bit-stream can improve the precision. Since the bits in the bit-stream are independent of each other, the precision can be adjusted without hardware modification, which is known as the progressive precision characteristic [29]. Second, the representation of a stochastic number is not unique, e.g., there are $C_2^m = 10$ possible ways to represent 0.6 using a 5-bit SC sequence. Third, as the weight of each bit in the bit-stream is even, SC is naturally resilient to soft errors.

The basic arithmetic operations in DCNNs are multiplication, addition, and nonlinear activation, which can be implemented efficiently using SC with small circuits and significantly improved energy & power efficiency.

1) Multiplication: Stochastic multiplication can be performed efficiently by an OR gate and an XOR gate in unipolar and bipolar format, respectively. Fig. 3(a) and (b) gives the example for unipolar and bipolar multiplication. We assume that the inputs are independent of each other. For unipolar multiplication $x = P_{X=1} = P_{A_1=1} \cdot P_{A_2=1} = a_1 \cdot a_2$, whereas for bipolar multiplication $x = 2P_{X=1} - 1 = 2(P_{A_1=1} \cdot P_{A_2=0} + P_{A_1=0} \cdot P_{A_2=0}) - 1 = [2P_{A_1=1} \cdot P_{A_2=0} + (1 - P_{A_1=1}) \cdot (1 - P_{A_2=0})] - 1 = (2P_{A_1=1} - 1) \cdot (2P_{A_2=0} - 1) = a_1 \cdot a_2$. Clearly, multiplication in SC consumes much less hardware and offers significantly improved energy & power efficiency, compared with conventional binary arithmetic.

2) Addition: In the SC domain, addition can be implemented by an OR gate, a multiplexer (MUX), and an APC [34], as shown in Fig. 4(a)-(c), respectively. OR gate-based addition is an approximation of unipolar addition, i.e., $x = P_X \approx P_{A_1} + P_{A_2} + \ldots + P_{A_n} \approx a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n$, which is not suitable for the bipolar encoding format in this paper. MUX-based adder works for both unipolar and bipolar formats, where an MUX is used to randomly select one input $i$ among $n$ inputs with probability $p_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n n p_i = 1$. For example, adding two numbers using MUX is $x = 2 \cdot P_X - 1 \approx (1/2) \cdot ((2 \cdot P_{A_1} - 1) + (2 \cdot P_{A_2} - 1)) = (1/2) \cdot (a_1 + a_2)$ in bipolar format. Since only one bit is utilized at a time, MUX-based adder has low precision when input number $n$ is large, making it less attractive for the large DCNNs.
As shown in Fig. 4(c), [34] proposed the APC design with high precision and no bias, which calculates the summation of multiple input bit streams \((A_1 - A_n)\) by accumulating the number of 1’s at each time step. Unlike the MUX-based adder, which incurs significant accuracy loss since the 1-bit wide output can only represent a number in the range of \([-1, 1]\), the output of the APC is a \(\log_2(n)\)-bit wide binary bit-stream, which is capable of representing numbers in a wide range. As state-of-the-art DCNNs include the large filters and huge connections with the fully connected layers (i.e., a large number of input bit streams for an adder), it becomes imperative to use APC-based addition in practice instead of MUX or OR gates. The APC should be further optimized to achieve a smaller footprint and higher energy efficiency without sacrificing precision.

3) Activation: Nonlinear activation function not only affects the learning dynamics but also has a significant impact on the network’s expressive power [37]. Traditional activation functions, such as sigmoid \((f(x) = [1/(1 + e^{-x})])\) and hyperbolic tangent \((f(x) = [2/(1 + e^{-2x})] - 1)\), suffer from the vanishing gradient problem, resulting in a slower training process or a convergence to a poor local minimum [38]. On the other hand, ReLU function \((f(x) = \max(0, x))\) has two major benefits: 1) the reduced likelihood of the gradient to vanish, since an activated unit gives a constant gradient of 1 and 2) the induced high sparsity in the hidden layers as \(x \leq 0\) leads to \(f(x) = 0\).

Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, only two types of hyperbolic tangent activation function have been designed in the SC domain for neural networks [31], [32]. As shown in Fig. 5(a), Stanh(·) is designed in [31] for input bit-stream \(X\) using a finite state machine (FSM) with \(K\) states. The output stream \(Z\) is determined by the current state \(s_i\) (\(0 \leq i \leq K - 1\)), which is calculated as

\[
s_i = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{K}{2} - 1 \\
1, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]  

The detailed mathematical explanation of Stanh(·) is given in [39]. On the other hand, Btanh(·) is proposed in [32] for \(n\)-input binary bit-streams with \(m\)-bit length using a two-state up/down counter, as shown in Fig. 5(b).

As ReLU has become the most popular activation function for most recent DCNNs like AlexNet [2], it is imperative to design novel SC-based ReLU activation for the state-of-the-art DCNNs. We need to resolve two challenges in developing effective SC-based ReLU: 1) realize the nonlinear shape of ReLU using SC and 2) achieve sufficient precision level. The latter is particularly important because an inaccurate activation can potentially amplify the imprecision of features after pooling.

As shown in Fig. 5(b), [34] proposed the APC design with high precision and no bias, which calculates the summation of multiple input bit streams \((A_1 - A_n)\) by accumulating the number of 1’s at each time step. Unlike the MUX-based adder, which incurs significant accuracy loss since the 1-bit wide output can only represent a number in the range of \([-1, 1]\), the output of the APC is a \(\log_2(n)\)-bit wide binary bit-stream, which is capable of representing numbers in a wide range. As state-of-the-art DCNNs include the large filters and huge connections with the fully connected layers (i.e., a large number of input bit streams for an adder), it becomes imperative to use APC-based addition in practice instead of MUX or OR gates. The APC should be further optimized to achieve a smaller footprint and higher energy efficiency without sacrificing precision.

### III. Proposed Design

#### A. Motivation

The hundreds of millions of connections and millions of neurons in the state-of-the-art DCNNs make DCNNs both highly computational and memory intensive. In order to deploy DCNNs onto mobile systems, wearable devices, and unmanned systems, further energy efficiency enhancements must be achieved to implement the large state-of-the-art DCNN, such as AlexNet [5], which is composed of over 0.65 million neurons with varying shapes across eight layers.

In the traditional binary arithmetic calculation blocks used in most of the prior GPU, FPGA, and ASIC accelerator works, the most intensive calculations in DCNNs are related to the inner-product operation in both convolution and fully connected layers. The inner-product consists of multiplications and additions. The large number of binary multipliers and adders makes it nearly impossible to deploy the entire large DCNN, such as AlexNet on embedded systems with a limited hardware resources and power budgets, not to mention more advanced DCNNs, such as VGG [40] and ZFNet [41] with even more neurons.

The SC technique, on the other hand, can potentially overcome this limitation and achieve a drastically smaller hardware footprint and higher energy efficiency. SC-DCNN [1] performs design space explorations on SC-based DCNNs for LeNet-5. However, it lacks the design and optimization at both function block level (e.g., ReLU or APC-based inner product block) and overall DCNN level, and result in a notable degradation in application-level accuracy because of the usage of tanh activation function. In order to overcome these limitations, the ReLU function block needs to be designed in SC domain and avoid the degradation in application-level accuracy. Even for the inner-product block which has already been investigated in SC-DCNN, it needs further optimizations to satisfy the requirements of energy efficiency, performance, and accuracy. Moreover, an overall design optimization is necessary in order to optimize the overall energy efficiency while satisfying the application-level accuracy of DCNN.

In the following sections, we introduce the ReLU function block design and the inner-product function block design in order to address the aforementioned drawbacks of SC-DCNN. And we also propose the optimization on the overall DCNN architecture including weight storage optimization, co-optimization on the FEBs, and pipelining-based optimization.

#### B. ReLU Function Block Design

ReLU has become the most popular activation function in state-of-the-art DCNNs, however, only hyperbolic tangent/sigmoid functions have been implemented in the SC domain in previous works [31], [42]. Therefore, it is important to have the design of SC-based ReLU block in order to accommodate the SC technique in the state-of-the-art large-scale DCNNs, such as AlexNet for ImageNet applications.

The mathematical expression of ReLU is \(f(x) = \max(0, x)\), i.e., when input \(x\) is less than 0, the activation result is 0, otherwise the activation result is \(x\) itself. This characteristic of ReLU gives rise to a challenge for SC-based designs. Since \(x\) is represented by a stochastic bit-stream in SC with length \(m\), we can only intuitively determine its sign and value through a counter using \(m\) clock cycles. This straightforward implementation of ReLU function in SC domain undoubtedly
leads to a significant extra delay and energy overhead. On the other hand, the bit-stream-based representation in SC restricts the number it represents within the range $[-1, 1]$, and as a result, the output of SC-based ReLU block should be clipped to 1. The clipped ReLU in the SC domain is expressed as $f(x) = \min(\max(0, x), 1)$.

Four concerns should be addressed to develop an effective SC-based ReLU block for DCNN applications: 1) the application-level accuracy of the overall DCNN should be high enough if the ReLU activation result is clipped to 1; 2) determining whether the input $x$ is a negative number without causing extra latency; 3) generating of SC bit-stream representing zero when the input $x$ is less than zero; and 4) output $x$ itself when $x \in [0, 1]$. In this section, the design of SC-based ReLU block is presented to resolve these concerns.

The premise that the SC-based ReLU block can be adopted in DCNNs is that the clipped ReLU would not bring about significant application-level accuracy degradation. Accordingly, we perform a series of experiments on representative DCNNs LeNet-5 and AlexNet by replacing their activation functions with the clipped ReLU. According to the experiment results, for AlexNet with ImageNet dataset [43], the clipped ReLU causes no significant accuracy degradation for the overall DCNN whereas for LeNet-5 with MNIST dataset [44], clipped ReLU even improve the accuracy by more than 0.1%. Therefore, the clipped ReLU is appropriate for the state-of-the-art DCNNs. This addresses the first concern.

To avoid extra latency, the sign of the number represented by the bit-stream should be estimated dynamically and synchronously. The SC-based ReLU proposed in this paper implements the dynamic estimation by accumulating the bit-stream and comparing the accumulated value with a reference number. Since in a stochastic bit-stream, the 1’s are randomly distributed, the number represented by a bit-segment is approximately equal to the number represented by the whole bit-stream. For instance, when 0.5 is represented by a 1024-bit bit-stream, we consider both the first-half (512-bit) and the second-half bit-streams are approximately equal to 0.5. Consequently, by accumulating the bit-stream, the number represented by the accumulated number will asymptotically converge to the actual number represented by the whole bit-stream. On the other side, under the context of bipolar representation, the number zero is presented by a bit-stream with 50% of 1’s, as $(0 + 1)/2 = 0.5$. Therefore, if the accumulated number is less than half of the clock cycles for accumulation, the number represented by the bit-stream is (likely to be) less than 0. The SC-based ReLU block outputs a bit of 1 to enforce the output to equal zero by increasing the number of 1’s. The second and the third concerns are addressed by this accumulating and dynamic comparison strategy.

Similarly, if the accumulated number is greater than half of the clock cycles for accumulation, the number represented by the bit-stream is (likely to be) greater than 0. The current output of the SC-based ReLU is determined by the output of the FSM, which is homogeneous with Btanh. The last concern is addressed as well.

Fig. 6 illustrates the proposed architecture of SC-based ReLU. The input of SC-based ReLU is accumulated, and the accumulation result is compared with a reference number (half of the passed clock cycles). The comparator output is used as an input and also the control signal of the multiplexer. If the accumulation result is less than the reference number, the comparator outputs a 1 and is selected by the multiplexer as the output of SC-based ReLU block. Otherwise, the output is determined by the FSM inside the SC-based ReLU block. Please note that the proposed SC-based ReLU will not incur any extra latency.

The algorithm of the proposed SC-based ReLU is illustrated in Algorithm 1. Please note that the Positive signal is used to adjust the SC-based ReLU for different types of APCs. When the outputs of APCs represent the number of 1’s among inputs, the normal logic is assigned to the output of SC-based ReLU. When the outputs of APCs represent the number of 0’s, the inverted logic is assigned. The purpose is to make the output 

---

**Algorithm 1 Proposed SC-Based ReLU Hardware**

```
input : BitMatrix = the output of the previous pooling block
each column of the matrix is a binary vector
Cyclehalf = the half of the passed clock cycles
S is the FSM state number
N is the input size of a feature extraction block
m is the length of a stochastic bit-stream
Positive indicates whether APC’s output represents the number of 1’s
output: Z is a bit-stream output by ReLU
Smax = S; // upper bound of the state
State = Shalf; // State is used to record the state history
Accumulated = 0; // to accumulate each column of BitMatrix
if Positive == 1 then
    ActiveBit = 1; InactiveBit = 0;
else
    ActiveBit = 0; InactiveBit = 1;
for i = 0; i < m do
    BinaryVec = BitMatrix[i]; // current column
    State = State + BinaryVec * 2 - N; // update current state
    // accumulate current column of the input
    Accumulated = Accumulated + BinaryVec;
    if Accumulated < Cyclehalf then
        Z[i] = ActiveBit;
        // enforce the output of ReLU to be greater than or equal to 0,
        // otherwise the output is determined by the following FSM
    else if State > Smax then
        State = Smax;
    else if State < 0 then
        State = 0;
    if State < Shalf then
        Z[i] = ActiveBit;
    else
        Z[i] = InactiveBit;
```

---
of SC-based ReLU (and thereby the whole FEB) not affected by the types of APCs.

Fig. 7 shows the MATLAB simulation results of the proposed SC-based ReLU using different bit-stream lengths, and the simulation curves of the clipped-ReLU are also depicted. We randomly generate 1000 numbers for each experiments to test the SC-based ReLU accuracy. As each bit is processed in one clock cycle, the Cyclehalf in Algorithm 1 represents the half of the number of passed bits. In this simulation, we set Positive = 1 to count the number of ones in the bit-stream. The average inaccuracies (the difference between the clipped-ReLU and the SC-based ReLU) of using 1024-bit length and 128-bit length are 0.031 and 0.057, respectively. We can conclude that SC-based ReLU can guarantee a high accuracy in DCNNs.

C. Inner-Product Block Optimization

We optimize the APC-based inner-product block with a potentially large number of inputs. Inner-product calculates the “summation of products” and involves both multiplication and addition operations. Hence, we optimize both multiplication and APC-based addition in SC.

1) Transmission Gate-Based Multiplication: As discussed before, the multiplications are implemented with XNOR gates in bipolar SC. Generally, an XNOR gate costs at least 16 transistors if it is implemented in static CMOS technology, and its simplest structure in gate-level is shown in Fig. 8(a). However, if the XNOR gate is implemented with transmission gates, only eight transistors are needed, leading to 50% savings in hardware. The main drawback of potential voltage degradation of a transmission gate does not cause latent errors for three reasons: 1) the multiplication operations are only performed in the first sublayer of each network layer, so any latent voltage degradation will not be significant; 2) the following APCs and activation blocks are implemented with static CMOS technology, so any minor voltage degradation introduced by transmission gates will be compensated; and 3) SC itself is soft error resilient, i.e., a soft error at one single bit has a negligible impact on the whole bit-stream. The structure of the transmission gate-based XNOR gate is illustrated in Fig. 8(b).

2) APC Optimization: APC [34] has been designed for efficiently performing addition with a large number of inputs in SC domain. More specifically, it efficiently counts the total number of 1’s in each “column” of the input stochastic bit-streams and the output is represented by a binary number, as shown in Fig. 4(c). The APC consists of two parts: approximate units (AU), implemented by a combination of simple two-input gates, such as AND/OR gate, and an accurate parallel counter (PC) with size significantly reduced. The PC circuit consists of a network of full adders for precisely counting the total number of 1’s among the input bit-streams. Although the literature [34] presented the operation principle of APC, there is no existing work targeting at optimization of the performance and energy efficiency. We mitigate this limitation by presenting a holistic optimization framework of APC in the following.

First, we investigate the design optimization of adder trees in PC to refine APC design. A conventional PC uses full adders and half adders to calculate the number of active inputs (the total number of 1’s). Each adder reduces a set of three inputs (for full adder) or two inputs (for half adder) with weight 2\(^n\) into an output line with weight 2\(^n\) and another output with weight 2\(^n+1\), which correspond to the summation and output carry, respectively. To reduce the area and power and energy consumption of APC, we design adder tree using *inverse mirror full adders* [45], i.e., mirror full adders without output inverters, whose outputs are the logical inversion of summation and carry out bits. Compared to a full adder synthesis results (from Synopsys Design Compiler) requiring 32 transistors, an inverse mirror full adder only costs 24 transistors. An adder tree design is available for the PC using inverse full adders, in which the odd layer (of adders) outputs the inverse values of summation and output carry, representing the number of inactive inputs (the total number of 0’s). The results are inverted back in the subsequent even layer of adders. Inspired by the same idea of using inverse logic, NAND/NOR gates can be used to construct the AU layer instead of AND/OR gates, to achieve further delay/area reductions.

Depending on the input size, the output of the proposed APC can either represent the number of 1’s among the input bit-streams, or the number of 0’s. Please note that the activation function needs to be modified if the APC output represents the number of 0’s as discussed in the ReLU block design. As an example, the proposed 16-input APC design is shown in Fig. 9(a).

Next, we discuss the APC designs for input size that is not a power of two. An example of the proposed 25-input APC is shown in Fig. 9(b). Two modifications are needed compared with the previous case. First, *arithmetic inverse half adders* are required to calculate the number of inactive inputs (number of 0’s among inputs). In addition, in this case, the final output of APC should be the noninverted value compared with the inputs to the adder tree. In other words, if the inputs of adder tree represent the number of 0’s (inactive inputs), then the
APC output must also be the number of 0’s. The reason is as follows: the summation of the number of 0’s and the number of 1’s should be equal to the input size [e.g., 25 as shown in Fig. 9(b)], whereas the inverse operation in adders assumes that their summation is \(2^N + 1\), where \(N\) is the number of bits in the output binary number. Thus, the final layer of adder tree should use either adders or inverse adders to generate noninverted results compared with the inputs.

Table I shows the comparison of inner-product blocks before and after optimization using the 1024-bit-stream. After applying the optimization on the inner-product blocks, the hardware performance in terms of clock period, area, and energy are all reduced, especially the area. Table I also demonstrates the advantages of SC over conventional binary computing. We can observe that the SC delay/area/energy are much smaller than binary’s, this is because SC-based inner-product blocks taking multiple input bit-streams in a parallel manner with simple gate logic, while the binary logic compute equivalent binary numbers bit by bit with complex gate logic.

### D. Weight Storage Optimization

The main computing task of an inner-product block is to calculate the inner-products of \(x_i\)’s and \(w_j\)’s. \(x_i\)’s are inputs of neurons, while \(w_j\)’s are weights obtained during training, stored, and used in the hardware-based DCNNs. The number of weights is skyrocketing as the structure of DCNNs becomes much deeper and more complex. For example, LeNet-5 [3] includes 431k parameters, AlexNet [5] has around 61M parameters, and VGG-16 [40] contains over 138M parameters. It is urgent to explore the techniques to store the tremendous parameters efficiently. In convolutional layers, weights are shared within filter domain, while in fully connected layers, the number of weights is enormous and independent. Thus, the weights need to be either shared or reduced. The reduction of weights has been explored in many previous works, such as [20] and [46], however, weight sharing lacks the discussion. In this section, we present a simple weight reduction method and a clustering-based weight sharing optimization. The methods presented can be combined with weight reduction/pruning methods in related works.

We use static random access memory (SRAM) for weight storage due to its high reliability, high speed, and small area. The specifically optimized SRAM placement schemes and weight storage methods are imperative for further reductions of area and power (energy) consumptions. In general, DCNN will be trained with single floating point precision. Thus on hardware, up to 64-bit SRAM is needed for storing one weight value in the fixed point format to maintain its original high precision. This scheme can provide high accuracy as there is almost no information loss of weights. However, it also brings about high hardware consumptions in that the size of SRAM and its related read/write circuits is increasing with the increasing of precision of the stored weight values.

According to our software-level experiments, many least significant bits far from the decimal point only have a very limited impact on the overall application-level accuracy, thus the number of bits for weight representation in the SRAM block can be significantly reduced. We adopt a mapping equation that converts a weight in the real number format to the binary number stored in SRAM to eliminate the proper numbers of least significant bits. Suppose the weight value is \(x\), and the number of bits to store a weight value in SRAM is \(w\) (which is defined as the precision of the represented weight value in this paper), then the binary number to be stored for representing \(x\) is

\[
y = \frac{\text{Int}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) \times 2^w}{2^w}
\]

where \(\text{Int()}\) means only keeping the integer part. Please note that the binary numbers stored in SRAMs are fed into efficient random number generators (RNGs) to generate stochastic numbers.
numbers at runtime. For instance, a 6-bit binary number can be used to generate a stochastic number with 1024-bit length through RNG. Hence, there is no need to store the entire 1024 bit stochastic number in SRAM. The overhead of RNGs is also taken into account in our experiments. Therefore, this weight storage method can significantly reduce the size of SRAMs and their read/write circuits through decreasing the precision. The area saving achieved by this method based on estimations from CACTI 5.3 [47] is 10.3×.

1) Weight Clustering: As mentioned before, a state-of-the-art DCNN contains millions of weights. A large amount of SRAM will be consumed for storing all these weights. In fact, many weight values can be rounded to a neighboring value without significant accuracy loss according to our experiments. Therefore, we investigate the k-means-based weight clustering method that clusters all weights into clusters and rounds the weights in each cluster to one centroid value. Consequently, only a part of weight values need to be stored in SRAM. A multiplexer is used to select a weight from an SRAM block for each \( w_i \) of an inner product block, and the selection signals are stored in SRAM block as well. Suppose the filter size is \( p \times p \), each weight occupies \( n \) bits, and storing one bit consumes \( t \) units hardware resources on average (including read/write circuits). Accordingly, the size of an SRAM block before clustering is \( p^2 \times n \times t \). After clustering, only \( s \) weights are needed, thus the size of an SRAM block for storing weights is \( s \times n \times t \). Since an inner product block has \( p^2 \) weight values, \( p^2 \) multiplexers are required for each inner product block, and \( p^2 \times \log_2 s \times t \) units hardware resources are needed for storing the selection signals. Suppose the size of a multiplexer is \( m \) units hardware resources, and there are \( q \) inner product blocks for extracting a feature map. The area saving achieved by the clustering method is \( p^2 \times n \times t - (s \times n \times t + p^2 \times \log_2 s \times t + p^2 \times m \times q) \) for each feature map.

As shown in Fig. 10(a), when the clustering is performed on all weights of the network, the application-level error rate vibrates obviously with the change of the clustering number, and the error rates in many cases exceed 10%. It indicates that the clustering on all weights is not practicable.

Then we perform the clustering on weights within each single layer to explore the application-level accuracy performance. As illustrated in Fig. 10(b), when the clustering is performed on each layer from Conv1 to FC2, desirable application-level accuracy can be obtained while the number of clusters is more than three. Inspired by the experimental results, we investigate the application-level accuracy when the clustering is performed on the whole network but each layer is individually clustered (called layer-wise, and different layers may have the different number of clusters). When all layers are individually clustered into five or more clusters, the application-level error rate is less than 2%.

E. Optimization on Feature Extraction Block and the Overall DCNN

1) Co-Optimization on FEBs: In the FEB, the inner-product, max pooling and ReLU function blocks are connected in series, and the imprecision of one function block will be propagated to the subsequent block(s) within this FEB. Considering the intra-FEB imprecision propagation effect due to the cascade connection, the parameters of the inner-product, max pooling, and ReLU function blocks inside one FEB should be jointly optimized. The goal of co-optimization through the SC-based FEB is to approach the accuracy level of software FEB.

We propose an optimization function \( S = f(N) \), where \( S \) and \( N \) denote the FSM state number in ReLU and the fan-in, respectively. First of all, given \( N \), each inner-product block is optimized. Next, in order to derive the optimization function, we simulate each FEB with all the function blocks connected together, and select the \( S \) that yields the highest precision under a given \( N \). Below is the empirical function that is extracted from comprehensive experiments obtaining the optimal state number providing a high precision

\[
S = f(N) \approx 2 \cdot N.
\]

Fig. 11 shows the optimized FEB precision versus input size under different bit-stream lengths.
TABLE II
HARDWARE PERFORMANCE OF FEBs WITH THE DIFFERENT INPUT SIZES
USING 1024-BIT-STREAM W/ AND W/O PIPELINE-BASED OPTIMIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimization</th>
<th>Pipelining</th>
<th>Non-pipelining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock Period (ns)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area ((\mu\text{m}^2))</td>
<td>910.8</td>
<td>1262.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power ((\mu\text{W}))</td>
<td>556.6</td>
<td>771.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (J)</td>
<td>985.4</td>
<td>1403.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12. Layout of a 64-input FEB using the proposed APC, pooling, and activation blocks.

Fig. 13. FEB tape-out. (a) 8-bit chip. (b) 16-bit chip.

Fig. 14. Testing platform for the fabricated chips.

due to the limited I/O bandwidth of the foundry. Shown in Fig. 13, we taped out the 8-bit and the 16-bit FEB as the proof-of-concept. We tested our chips using an Altera Cyclone V FPGA in Fig. 14, random bit-streams are fed into the FEB chip, the results are displayed on an oscilloscope as Fig. 15 shows.

2) Pipeline-Based DCNN Optimizations: In this paper, we propose a two-tier pipeline-based network optimization for HEIF as shown in Fig. 16. The first-tier pipeline is placed in between different convolutional and fully connected layers, i.e., inserting DFFs between consecutive layers to hold the temporary results, which enables pipelining across the deep layers of DCNNs. The second-tier pipeline is placed within a layer which is inspired by [48]. More specifically, based on the delay results of inner product, pooling and ReLU blocks, we insert DFFs between the pooling unit and ReLU block in order to further reduce the system clock period. We place the pooling unit in the first stage. Because after pooling, the output size is reduced so that we can use less DFFs to save area, power, and energy. To show the effectiveness of pipelining within a layer, we also evaluate the hardware costs for FEBs without pipelining in the right section in Table II. Comparing the results in Table II, we observe that the pipelining optimization significantly reduce the delay (clock period) by about 22% in average with slight area, power, and energy increase by DFFs.

An additional key optimization knob is the bit-stream length. A smaller bit-stream length in SC can almost improve the energy efficiency in a proportional manner. However, we must ensure that the overall application-level accuracy is maintained when the bit-stream length is reduced, and therefore, a joint optimization is required. In this procedure, we first optimize the accuracy of each function block, i.e., APC, max pooling and ReLU, to reduce the imprecision within an FEB. Furthermore, we conduct co-optimization through FEB to find the best configuration of each unit inside one FEB, in order to mitigate the propagation of imprecision and maintain the overall application-level accuracy.

IV. RESULTS

The proposed HEIF is to accelerate DCNNs. Besides, it is applicable to various deep models, such as DBNs, long short-term memory, etc., where similar computations are conducted. In this section, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed HEIF, we perform thorough optimizations on two widely used DCNNs as examples, i.e., LeNet-5 [49] and AlexNet [5], to minimize area and power (energy) consumption while maintaining a high application-level accuracy. The FEBs, the
pipeline, the bit-stream length, and the weight storage schemes are carefully selected/optimized in the procedure.

The LeNet-5 is a widely used DCNN structure with a configuration of 784-11520-2880-3200-800-500-10. The MNIST handwritten digit image dataset [50] is used to evaluate LeNet-5 configuration of 784-11520-2880-3200-800-500-10. The MNIST dataset consists of 60,000 training data and 10,000 testing data. The AlexNet, on the other hand, is a much larger DCNN with a configuration of 290400-186624-64896-43264-4096-4096-1000. The accuracy of AlexNet is measured on the ImageNet dataset (ILSVRC2012) [43], which contains 1.28M training images, 50k validation images, and 100k test images with 1000 class labels. The delay, power and energy of FEB training images, 50k validation images, and 100k test images are carefully selected/optimized in the procedure.

Table IV compares the performance and hardware cost of the proposed HEIF on LeNet-5 implementation. One can observe that the proposed HEIF can realize the entire LeNet-5 with only 0.10% accuracy degradation compared to the software accuracy of our software-based implementations.

The experimental results show that a 4096-input inner-product function block consumes power as high as 6.2 mW and delay is 3.3 ns which is longer than smaller blocks. Meanwhile, it is as big as 11,973 µm² and needs 20.64 pJ to drive a large APC. Considering in AlexNet, FC7 layer contains 4096 inner-product function blocks, the concurrent circuit with a large APC is difficult to tape-out.

Finally, we investigate the capacity of HEIF on implementing each layer and the full AlexNet. We evaluate the hardware performance of each layer in AlexNet separately and conclude the area, power, and layer delay in Table VI. Table VI also concludes the accuracy performance of the proposed HEIF on the full AlexNet. It is observed that the proposed HEIF can realize the entire AlexNet with only 1.35% top-1 accuracy degradation and 1.02% top-5 accuracy degradation compared to the software accuracy of our software-based implementations. As shown in Table VI, the convolution layer Conv5 and fully connected layers FC6–FC8 can be implemented using the proposed HEIF efficiently. However, one should note that due to a large number of neurons in convolution layers Conv1–Conv4, the area and power consumptions of these layers are significant. Hence, to make tape-out possible, we have to adopt a reconfigurable approach to implement the large layers in a time-multiplexed manner, which is also a future extension of this paper.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Scalability

The proposed SC paradigm is able to process the computation as the (convolutional) neural network architecture gets deeper with the help of pipelining. Since the input size in each inner-product function block in convolutional layers is the corresponding filter size, the key challenge the SC-based components face is the booming of inputs for each inner-product function block in the fully connected layers.

The experimental results show that a 4096-input inner-product function block consumes power as high as 6.2 mW and delay is 3.3 ns which is longer than smaller blocks. Meanwhile, it is as big as 11,973 µm² and needs 20.64 pJ to drive a large APC. Considering in AlexNet, FC7 layer contains 4096 inner-product function blocks, the concurrent circuit with such power and energy consumption is not achievable. Thus, the model must be compressed to reduce the input size in FC layers. We applied compressed model mentioned in [55], the input size of each neuron is pruned to as low as 9% of the original number. The path delay is then improved by 50% because of the shorter path along hierarchical adder in APC. And the power and energy are reduced to 0.9 mW and 6.3 pJ, respectively, while area efficiency is improved by 9×.

With the compressed design of inner-product function block, we can scale the SC-based framework to the state-of-the-art...
large-scale DCNNs, considering that the computation within those DCNNs is covered by our framework. Some special normalization layers, such as local response normalization and regularization layers, such as Dropout are the competition-directed optimization, which can be removed with a slight sacrifice of accuracy [5], [56] to improve the overall efficiency of the network. These nonresource-exhausting operations are the next step to fully design a general SC-based framework for DCNN which is also the future work for other hardware acceleration researches for DCNNs.

B. Energy Efficiency

SC-based design has achieved high energy efficiency which is shown in Table IV and Table V. However, the consumed energy is proportional to the stage delay of the network and the length of bit-streams. Since bit-streams are processed sequentially in the network and the hardware building blocks are given, reducing the length of bit-streams can efficiently reduce the energy consumption without increasing the power. This is a key characteristic of SC as long as the overall accuracy satisfies certain constraints. Shown in Table III, when the bit-stream length is reduced to 128, compared with a bit-stream length of 1024, the energy efficiency is increased by 8× with only 0.11% validation application-level accuracy loss, and 0.05% test accuracy loss. Meanwhile, the footprint and power are not increased, for the hardware is not modified. There is a potential for a shorter bit-stream and much less energy which is due to the tradeoff between accuracy and energy efficiency. Note that the energy & power related results are the synthesis results using Synopsys Design Compiler, the power dissipation on the clock tree is neglected although that on the sequential elements (DFFs) is already accounted for. Compared with binary-based designs, SC-based designs (e.g., the proposed HEIF) do not contain a large number of sequential elements because of the sequential processing nature. Also, the operating frequency of the proposed HEIF (410 MHz) is not overly high. Therefore, the energy dissipation induced by the clock tree will not be very significant.

C. Application-Level Accuracy

The proposed highly efficient SC-based framework ensures high application-level accuracy of DCNN. Taking LeNet and AlexNet as examples for DCNNs, shown in Tables III and VI, the proposed framework can achieve as high as 99.07% test accuracy which outperforms the previous SC-based related work [1] on LeNet-5. Please note the trained software model for LeNet in this paper is able to achieve 99.17% test accuracy, which means the HEIF only downgrades 0.1% accuracy to achieve much higher energy efficiency. Moreover, in the large-scale application of ImageNet classification of 1000 labels, using AlexNet, the proposed framework can achieve as high as 79.46% top-5 accuracy which is only 1.02% performance degradation from the trained model.

This is because the combination of DCNN and SC paradigm along with the proposed optimization framework mitigates the errors brought by the imprecision of each function block. In
LeNet-5, an FEB takes 25 inputs which shows an imprecision of 0.11, and the fully connected neuron causes an imprecision of 0.06. Similarly, in AlexNet, an FEB taking 121, 25, 9 inputs gives imprecision of 0.07, 0.11, and 0.18, respectively. Interestingly, when translating the hardware-level imprecision to application-level accuracy, the latter is not downgraded significantly, with only 0.1% test accuracy loss in LeNet and 1% top-5 accuracy in AlexNet. This is because: 1) the imprecisions can be both positive or negative and can mitigate each other when the input size is large, and can be mitigated in the pooling block and by the scaling function of inner products and 2) random and small deviations of hardware results will not significantly affect the software classification results. The theoretical analysis and quantitative proof of translating hardware-level imprecisions into application-level errors will be another promising direction of SC research and the more general research area of approximate computing.

VI. RELATED WORKS

References [5], [10], [57], and [58] leveraged the parallel computing and storage resources in GPUs to efficiently implement DCNNs. FPGA-based accelerators are another attractive option for the hardware implementation of DCNNs [12], [13] due to its programmability, the high degree of parallelism and short develop period. However, the current GPU- and FPGA-based implementations still exhibit a large margin of performance enhancement and power reduction. This is because: 1) GPUs and FPGAs are general-purpose computing devices not specifically optimized for executing DCNNs and 2) the relatively limited signal routing resources in such general platforms restrict the performance of DCNNs which require high interneuron communication.

Alternatively, ASIC-based implementations of DCNNs have been recently exploited to overcome the limitations of general-purpose computing approaches. Three representative state-of-the-art works on ASIC-based implementations are Eyeriss [22], EIE [20], and the DianNao family, including DianNao [59], DaDianNao [21], ShiDianNao [60], and PuDianNao [61]. Eyeriss [22] is an energy-efficient reconfigurable accelerator for the large CNNs with various shapes. EIE [20] focuses specifically on the fully connected layers of DCNN and achieves high throughput and energy efficiency. The DianNao family [59]–[61] is the series of hardware accelerators designed for a variety of machine learning tasks (especially the large-scale DCNNs) with a special emphasis on the impact of memory on accelerator design, performance, and energy.

To provide the high energy efficiency and low hardware footprint required in embedded and portable devices, novel computing paradigms are needed. SC-based design of neural networks has been shown an attractive candidate to meet the stringent requirements and facilitate the widespread of DCNN in low-power personal, embedded, and autonomous systems. Ji et al. [33] utilized stochastic logic to implement a radial basis function-based neural network. Kim et al. [32] presented the neuron design with SC for DBN. The design space exploration of SC-based DCNNs is recently performed in [1] for LeNet-5. However, there is no existing work that: 1) optimizes energy efficiency without compromising application-level accuracy and 2) investigates comprehensive design optimizations of SC-based DCNNs with a large scale (e.g., AlexNet with ImageNet-scale) and wide applications.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present HEIF, a highly efficient SC-based inference framework of the large-scale DCNNs, with broad applications on (but not limited to) both LeNet-5 and AlexNet, in order to achieve ultrahigh energy efficiency and low area/hardware cost. In this framework, we redesign the APC and optimize stochastic multiplication while proposing for the first time SC-based ReLU activation function to track with the recent advances in software models. A memory storage optimization method is investigated to store weights efficiently. Lastly, overall optimizations on the cascade connection of function blocks in DCNN, pipelining technique, and bit-stream length optimization are investigated in order to achieve maximum energy efficiency while maintaining application-level accuracy requirements. The proposed framework achieves very high energy efficiency of 1.2M Images/J and 1.3M Images/J, and high throughput of 3.2M Images/s and 2.5M Images/s, along with very small area of 22.9 mm² and 24.7 mm² on LeNet-5 and AlexNet, respectively. HEIF outperforms previous SC-DCNN by the throughput of 4.1×, by area efficiency of up to 6.5×, and achieves up to 5.6× energy improvement.
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